

SPONSOR REPORT

This section is dedicated for sponsor responsibility articles.

Quantitation of per- and polyfluoroalkyl substances (PFAS) in aqueous samples by LC-MS/MS following EPA Draft Method 1633

Kevin J. McHale Thermo Fisher Scientific, Somerset, NJ

This report was extracted from the Thermo Scientific Application Note 002348

Keywords: EPA Method 1633, per- and polyfluoroalkyl substances (PFAS), environmental contaminants, solid phase extraction, Vanquish Flex Binary UHPLC, TSQ Quantis Plus triple quadrupole mass spectrometer

Goal: To demonstrate the measurement of 40 per- and polyfluoroalkyl substances (PFAS) in 500 mL water samples at or below the method detection limits (MDLs) reported in U.S. EPA Draft Method 1633 by LC-MS/MS on the Thermo Scientific[™] TSQ Quantis[™] Plus mass spectrometer.

INTRODUCTION

PFAS are per- and polyfluoroalkyl substances. They comprise a hydrophobic chain of C- F bonds and a hydrophilic end group. The chemical nature of the C-F bonds makes these compounds extremely stable. Hence, PFAS have been given the term "forever compounds". They have been in use for decades in a wide variety of industrial uses and for many everyday consumer products. Because of their ubiquitous nature and chemical stability, PFAS have made their way into all aspects of the environment, including the water and soil and some even in the air. With contact with the environment, PFAS become integrated into plants, animals, and humans. Once in biological organisms, PFAS do not efficiently breakdown. This leads to bioaccumulation of PFAS, which has shown evidence of certain health effects in humans, including possible increased risk of cancer and infertility.¹

The U.S. EPA has taken a more active approach to monitoring PFAS in the environment in recent years. In March 2023, the EPA proposed the National Primary Drinking Water Regulation (NPDWR) to establish legally enforceable levels of six PFAS in drinking water, including PFOA and PFOS at 4 ng/L.² Previously developed methods EPA 537.1 and EPA 533 were established to measure PFAS in drinking water, including the six PFAS designated under the NPDWR. More recently, EPA Method 1633 was developed, in conjunction with the Department of Defense, to measure PFAS in non-potable water, (bio)solids, and tissue samples for the intended use of regulating PFAS via the Clean Water Act (CWA). The third draft of EPA Method 1633 was released in December 2022 following a multi-laboratory validation study in spiked wastewaters.³

This application note will present data for measuring 40 PFAS in fortified water samples following the third draft of EPA Method 1633. An MDL study was conducted in reagent water to demonstrate that equivalent or better performance can be attained using the Thermo Scientific[™] Vanquish[™] Flex Binary UHPLC system and Thermo Scientific[™] TSQ[™] Quantis Plus mass spectrometer.

EXPERIMENTAL

Consumables

A list of materials used is included in Table A1 in the Appendix.

Sample preparation

High-density polyethylene (HDPE) bottles were thoroughly rinsed with Thermo Scientific[™] UHPLC-MS grade methanol and air-dried prior to preparation of all water samples and sample processing solutions. Solid phase extraction (SPE) eluting solution was prepared on the day of sample extractions owing to the volatility of ammonium hydroxide.

PFAS standards were obtained from Wellington Laboratories (Guelph, ON), stored at 4 °C until needed, and used as received.

500 mL water samples (OptimaTM LC-MS grade, Fisher ScientificTM) were fortified with target PFAS analytes at concentrations consistent with a mid-level calibration point and at concentrations near the method's limit of quantitation for MDL determinations.

Shortly before adding water samples to the conditioned SPE cartridges, 25 µL extracted internal standards (EIS) solution was spiked into each water sample and mixed by inverting bottles numerous times for approximately 30 seconds.

Solid phase extraction (SPE) of water samples was accomplished according to the protocol detailed in Sections 11.2, 12.1, and 12.2 of EPA Draft Method 1633.

Calibration solutions were prepared according to Table 4 of EPA Draft Method 1633. Due to the sensitivity of the TSQ Quantis Plus mass spectrometer, two additional calibration solutions at concentrations equivalent to 25% and 50% of the lowest calibration solution (i.e., CS1) were also used for the LC-MS/ MS calibration procedure. The Calibration Verification Standard (CV) used herein was the CS3 standard rather than the suggested CS4.

Liquid chromatography

To prevent interferences from PFAS attributable to the liquid chromatography (LC) system, the Vanquish Flex Binary UHPLC system was modified with the PFAS Upgrade Kit. This kit includes PEEK tubing and a PFAS delay column to shift any residual PFAS in the LC system away from the target PFAS compound injected onto the analytical column. Fresh mobile phase was prepared after every five days of use. The LC method details are shown in Table 1.

Table 1. LC method parameters

| Parameter | Value | | | | |
|-------------------------|---|--------------------------------------|--|--|--|
| Analytical column | Thermo Scientific [™] Acclain 2.2 um | n [™] 120 C18, 2.1 × 50 mm, | | | |
| Delay column | Thermo Scientific [™] Hypers 1.9 µm | il GOLD [™] , 3.0 × 50 mm, | | | |
| Column temperature | 40 °C | | | | |
| Injection volume | 5 μL | | | | |
| Autosampler temperature | 20 °C | | | | |
| Mobile phase | (A) $H_2O + 2\%$ ACN + 2 mM ammonium acetate + 0.1% acetic acid (B) ACN + 2% H_2O + 2 mM ammonium acetate + 0.1% acetic acid | | | | |
| Flow rate | 0.4 mL/min | | | | |
| Gradient | Time (min) | % B | | | |
| | 0.0 | 10 | | | |
| | 1.0 | 30 | | | |

| | | / |
|-----------|------------|-----|
| Parameter | Value | |
| Gradient | Time (min) | % B |
| | 5.0 | 46 |
| | 10.0 | 76 |
| | 10.5 | 86 |
| | 10.9 | 86 |
| | 11.0 | 10 |
| | 13.0 | 10 |
| | | |

| Table 1. LC method | parameters | (continued) |) |
|--------------------|------------|-------------|---|
|--------------------|------------|-------------|---|

Mass spectrometry

All PFAS target analytes, extracted internal standards (EIS), and non-extracted internal standards (NIS) for EPA Method 1633 were detected using timed SRM (t-SRM) on the TSQ Quantis Plus mass spectrometer. Table 2 provides the ion source and TSQ Quantis Plus mass spectrometer detection settings used for data acquisition. The SRM transitions table of measured PFAS is included in Table A2 in the Appendix.

| Table 2. | TSQ | Quantis | Plus | mass | spectrometer | parameters |
|----------|-----|---------|------|------|--------------|------------|
|----------|-----|---------|------|------|--------------|------------|

| Parameter | Value |
|-----------------------|-----------------|
| lon source | H-ESI |
| Polarity | Negative |
| Spray voltage | -1,000 V |
| Sheath gas | 50 a.u. |
| Aux gas | 12 a.u. |
| Sweep gas | 0.5 a.u. |
| lon | 225 °C |
| Vaporizer temperature | 300 °C |
| Q1, Q3 resolution | 0.7 FWHM |
| CID gas | 2.5 mTorr argon |
| SRM cycle time | 0.4 s |

Data analysis

All LC-MS/MS data were acquired and processed using the Thermo Scientific[™] Chromeleon[™] Chromatography Data System (CDS), version 7.2.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Separation of PFOS and bile acids

The third draft of EPA Method 1633 includes a requirement that certain bile acids, such as taurodeoxycholic

acid (TDCA), taurochenodeoxycholic acid (TCDCA), and tauroursodeoxycholic acid (TUDCA), must be analyzed to ensure that they do not elute within a 1-minute window of PFOS linear and branched isomers, even in aqueous samples. This is because PFOS and TDCA (and its isomers TCDCA and TUDCA) have precursor ions that differ by 0.64 u, which cannot be differentiated with a quadrupole mass filter at unit resolution, and the same product m/z 79.96. Hence, if these compounds are not sufficiently separated chromatographically, these bile acids would cause a positive bias in the measurement of PFOS.

The initial LC method employed for EPA Draft Method 1633 used methanol as the organic solvent in the mobile phases, as it is also used in EPA Methods 537.1 and 533. However, during the bile acid check experiments, it was observed that PFOS could not be sufficiently separated from TDCA, TCDCA, and TUDCA (data not shown). When methanol was changed to acetonitrile in the mobile phases, these bile acids shifted to much earlier retention times relative to PFOS. Figure 1 shows TDCA is separated from the branched isomers PFOS by more than 2 minutes using the LC method in Table 1. Furthermore, TCDCA and TUDCA have retention times of 3.2 and 4.1 minutes, respectively, using the same method (data not shown).



Figure 1. Chromatograms for PFAS separation, including PFOS shown in top chromatogram, compared to the analysis of bile acid TDCA in bottom chromatogram. The LC method uses acetonitrile as the organic mobile phase instead of methanol according to EPA Draft Method 1633 to ensure separation of PFOS and TDCA.

Calibration data

Following the procedure described in Section 10.3 of EPA Draft Method 1633, a total of nine calibration solutions were used for the purpose of LC-MS/MS system calibration on the TSQ Quantis Plus mass spectrometer. Calibration curves for all target PFAS were fit using 1/x (concentration) weighting and not forced through zero. Target PFAS had linear regression fits with the exceptions of 5:3FTCA, 7:3FTCA, and the three x:2FTS compounds, which used quadratic regression curves. $R^2 > 0.997$ was achieved for all compounds. Relative standard errors (RSE) were calculated for all method analytes, accounting for the calibration curve type in the calculations. The vast majority of RSE values were <10%, while six native PFAS compounds had RSEs between 10% and 16%.

Precision and recovery data

Reagent water samples were fortified with native PFAS at concentrations consistent with a mid-level ongoing precision and recovery (OPR) standard. Table A3 in the Appendix shows the native PFAS spiked concentrations, mean percent recovery, and precision results for N=5 fortified water samples. With the exception of 6:2FTS, very good precision and recovery data are obtained.

Extracted internal standards (EIS) had mean percent recoveries of 77–110% and RSDs of 2.3–11.9%, with median values of 106% and 4.2%, respectively. Not surprisingly, the lowest recovery and poorest precision came from the most hydrophobic compounds, D5-N-EtFOSA and D9-N-EtFOSE.

6:2FTS was observed in the extraction method blanks at varying amounts, leading to its biased high percent recovery and poor precision values. Because of these results, an investigation into the potential sources of the contamination was conducted. After a thorough examination of all reagents and materials used during the SPE process, it was discovered that 6:2FTS contamination was from the polypropylene stopcocks used to control the sample flow through the SPE cartridges.

Method detection limits data

To determine the overall quantitative performance, an MDL study was conducted. Table A4 in the Appendix presents MDL values for the native PFAS measured on the TSQ Quantis Plus mass spectrometer and results from EPA Draft Method 1633 in aqueous samples. MDLs on the TSQ Quantis Plus mass spectrometer are equivalent or better for all but two analytes – the aforementioned 6:2FTS and PFBA.

PFBA was fortified in water samples at 4 ng/L in this MDL study. However, PFBA was observed in the extracted method blanks between 0.9 and 1.8 ng/L. The relatively high concentration of PFBA in the method blanks contributed to the higher MDL concentration.

CONCLUSIONS

Following the protocols in 1633, the TSQ Quantis Plus mass spectrometer has demonstrated MDLs at, or in most cases, below those listed in EPA Draft Method 1633 for aqueous samples. For extractions of mid-level fortified samples, results well within the recovery range of 70–130% and RSDs <20% were obtained, with the exception of 6:2FTS.

PFBA, which had slightly higher MDL value than in EPA Draft Method 1633, is notoriously challenging to quantify at or below 1 ng/L owing to cross-contamination issues. While many sources of PFBA contamination have been identified, further investigations are needed.

The unsatisfactory results for sample extractions of 6:2FTS, which was later found to be caused by contamination of the SPE stopcocks, reinforces the need to evaluate all reagents and materials, as well as thoroughly clean all equipment touched by the samples, to achieve the validation criteria in EPA Draft Method 1633. A selection of suggested Thermo Scientific branded materials for use in EPA Method 1633 are listed in Table A1 of the Appendix.

Despite the challenges presented from cross-contamination of PFBA and 6:2FTS, the combination of the Vanquish Flex UHPLC system and the TSQ Quantis Plus mass spectrometer is more than capable to fulfill the requirements of EPA Draft Method 1633 for aqueous samples delivering excellent value and productivity.

REFERENCES

- 1. Potential health effects of PFAS chemicals | ATSDR (cdc.gov)
- 2. Proposed Rule, Per- and Polyfluoroalkyl Substances National Primary Drinking Water Regulation, March 2023. https://www.regulations.gov/document/EPA-HQ-OW-2022-0114-0027
- 3. U.S. EPA 3rd Draft Method 1633, Analysis of Per- and Polyfluoroalkyl Substances (PFAS) in Aqueous, Solid, Biosolids, and Tissue Samples by LC-MS/MS, December 2022. https://www.epa.gov

APPENDIX

| Table A1. | Suggested | materials | for EPA | Draft | Method | 1633. | All | products | are | from | Thermo | Fisher | Scientific | unless |
|--------------|-----------|-----------|---------|-------|--------|-------|-----|----------|-----|------|--------|--------|------------|--------|
| specifically | / noted. | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

| Item | Product | Part number |
|------------------------|---|--------------|
| PFAS delay column | Hypersil GOLD, 3.0 × 50 mm, 1.9 μm | 25002-053030 |
| Analytical column | Acclaim 120 C18, 2.1 × 50 mm, 2.2 μm | 068981 |
| Guard column | Acclaim 120 C18, 2.1 × 10 mm, 5 μm | 069689 |
| Guard column kit | Acclaim guard kit (holder and coupler) V-2 | 069707 |
| Mobile phase chemicals | Water, UHPLC-MS grade, 1 L | W8-1 |
| | Acetonitrile, UHPLC-MS grade, 1 L | A9561 |
| | Ammonium acetate, LC-MS grade, 50 g | A114-50 |
| | Acetic acid, LC-MS grade, 1 mL ampoules | A113-10X1AMP |
| Other reagents | Methanol, UHPLC-MS grade, 1 L | A458-1 |
| | Ammonium hydroxide, ACS Plus grade, 500 mL, glass bottle | A669-500 |
| | Formic acid, LC-MS grade, 1 mL ampoules | A117-10X1AMP |
| | Optima [™] LC-MS grade water, 4 L, Fisher Chemical [™] | W64 |
| Centrifuge tubes | 15 mL conical polypropylene centrifuge tubes | 05-539-12 |
| Syringes | Luer-slip syringes, PE barrels, PP plungers, 5 mL | S7510-5 |
| Filters | Disposable syringe filters, 25 mm, 0.2 µm, nylon membrane | CH4513-NN |
| SPE cartridges | Biotage [™] EVOLUTE [™] PFAS, WAX, 150 mg/6 mL, 30/ pk | 614-0015-CP |
| Autosampler vials | Polypropylene, 1.5 mL, screw-top, Level 1 | 6ESV9-1PP |
| Autosampler caps | Polypropylene caps, 9 mm, screw-thread | C5000-50 |

Table A2. Timed SRM on the TSQ Quantis Plus mass spectrometer

| Compound | Start time (min) | End time (min) | Precursor (<i>m/z</i>) | Product (<i>m/z</i>) | Collision energy (V) | RF lens (V) |
|----------|---------------------|-------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------------|-------------------------|----------------|
| PFBA | 1.1 | 2.3 | 213 | 169 | 9 | 72 |
| M3PFBA | 1.1 | 2.3 | 216 | 172 | 9 | 72 |
| MPFBA | 1.1 | 2.3 | 217 | 172 | 9 | 72 |
| TDCA | 1.1 | 8 | 498.29 | 80 | 67 | 250 |
| TDCA | 1.1 | 8 | 498.29 | 124 | 53 | 250 |
| PFMPA | 2 | 2.7 | 229 | 85 | 10.5 | 72 |
| PFMPA | 2 | 2.7 | 229 | 185 | 7 | 72 |
| PFPeA | 2.3 | 3 | 263 | 219 | 8.5 | 77 |
| M5PFPeA | 2.3 | 3 | 268 | 223 | 8.5 | 77 |

| Compound | Start time (min) | End time (min) | Precursor (<i>m/z</i>) | Product (<i>m/z</i>) | Collision energy (V) | RF lens (V) |
|--------------|---------------------|-------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------------|-------------------------|----------------|
| PFMBA | 2.5 | 3.15 | 279 | 85 | 10.5 | 80 |
| PFMBA | 2.5 | 3.15 | 279 | 235 | 7.5 | 80 |
| 4:2FTS | 2.7 | 3.35 | 327 | 81 | 28 | 160 |
| 4:2FTS | 2.7 | 3.35 | 327 | 307 | 20 | 160 |
| M2-4:2FTS | 2.7 | 3.35 | 329 | 81 | 28 | 160 |
| M2-4:2FTS | 2.7 | 3.35 | 329 | 309 | 20 | 160 |
| NFDHA | 2.9 | 3.5 | 295 | 85 | 22 | 63 |
| NFDHA | 2.9 | 3.5 | 295 | 201 | 8 | 63 |
| PFHxA | 2.9 | 3.6 | 313 | 119 | 19 | 92 |
| PFHxA | 2.9 | 3.6 | 313 | 269 | 9 | 92 |
| MPFHxA | 2.9 | 3.6 | 315 | 119 | 19 | 92 |
| MPFHxA | 2.9 | 3.6 | 315 | 270 | 9 | 92 |
| M5PFHxA | 2.9 | 3.6 | 318 | 120 | 19 | 92 |
| M5PFHxA | 2.9 | 3.6 | 318 | 273 | 9 | 92 |
| PFBS | 3 | 3.7 | 298.94 | 80 | 32 | 190 |
| PFBS | 3 | 3.7 | 298.94 | 99 | 29 | 190 |
| M3PFBS | 3 | 3.7 | 302 | 80 | 32 | 190 |
| M3PFBS | 3 | 3.7 | 302 | 99 | 29 | 190 |
| HFPO-DA | 3.2 | 3.9 | 285 | 169 | 7 | 80 |
| HFPO-DA | 3.2 | 3.9 | 285 | 185 | 17 | 80 |
| 13C3-HFPO-DA | 3.2 | 3.9 | 287 | 169 | 7 | 80 |
| 13C3-HFPO-DA | 3.2 | 3.9 | 287 | 185 | 17 | 80 |
| PFEESA | 3.4 | 4.1 | 314.95 | 83 | 19 | 135 |
| PFEESA | 3.4 | 4.1 | 314.95 | 135 | 22 | 135 |
| PFHpA | 3.7 | 4.4 | 363 | 169 | 17 | 102 |
| PFHpA | 3.7 | 4.4 | 363 | 319 | 9.5 | 102 |
| M4PFHpA | 3.7 | 4.4 | 367 | 322 | 9.5 | 102 |
| 3:3FTCA | 3.9 | 4.8 | 241 | 117 | 32 | 82 |
| 3:3FTCA | 3.9 | 4.8 | 241 | 177 | 7 | 82 |
| PFPeS | 4 | 4.7 | 348.94 | 80 | 35 | 200 |

 Table A2. Timed SRM on the TSQ Quantis Plus mass spectrometer (continued)

| Table A2. Timed SRM of | n the TSQ Quantis Plus mass | spectrometer | (continued) |
|------------------------|-----------------------------|--------------|-------------|
|------------------------|-----------------------------|--------------|-------------|

| Compound | Start time (min) | End time (min) | Precursor (<i>m/z</i>) | Product (<i>m/z</i>) | Collision energy (V) | RF lens (V) |
|-----------|---------------------|-------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------------|-------------------------|----------------|
| PFPeS | 4 | 4.7 | 348.94 | 99 | 32 | 200 |
| ADONA | 4 | 4.8 | 377 | 85 | 22 | 94 |
| ADONA | 4 | 4.8 | 377 | 251 | 10 | 94 |
| 6:2FTS | 4.2 | 5 | 427 | 81 | 30 | 195 |
| 6:2FTS | 4.2 | 5 | 427 | 407 | 22.5 | 195 |
| M2-6:2FTS | 4.2 | 5 | 429 | 81 | 30 | 195 |
| M2-6:2FTS | 4.2 | 5 | 429 | 409 | 22.5 | 195 |
| PFOA | 4.5 | 5.4 | 413 | 169 | 17 | 114 |
| PFOA | 4.5 | 5.4 | 413 | 369 | 10 | 114 |
| PFHxS | 4.7 | 5.8 | 398.94 | 80 | 38 | 220 |
| PFHxS | 4.7 | 5.8 | 398.94 | 99 | 34 | 220 |
| M4PFOA | 4.7 | 5.4 | 417 | 172 | 17 | 114 |
| M8PFOA | 4.7 | 5.4 | 421 | 376 | 10 | 114 |
| M3PFHxS | 5.1 | 5.8 | 402 | 80 | 38 | 220 |
| M3PFHxS | 5.1 | 5.8 | 402 | 99 | 34 | 220 |
| MPFHxS | 5.1 | 5.8 | 403 | 84 | 38 | 220 |
| PFNA | 5.55 | 6.35 | 463 | 219 | 17 | 122 |
| PFNA | 5.55 | 6.35 | 463 | 419 | 10.5 | 122 |
| MPFNA | 5.55 | 6.35 | 468 | 423 | 10.5 | 122 |
| M9PFNA | 5.55 | 6.35 | 472 | 427 | 10.5 | 122 |
| PFHpS | 5.9 | 6.8 | 448.93 | 80 | 40 | 240 |
| PFHpS | 5.9 | 6.8 | 448.93 | 99 | 37 | 240 |
| 8:2FTS | 6.1 | 6.9 | 527 | 81 | 33 | 280 |
| 8:2FTS | 6.1 | 6.9 | 527 | 507 | 26 | 280 |
| M2-8:2FTS | 6.2 | 6.9 | 529 | 81 | 33 | 220 |
| M2-8:2FTS | 6.2 | 6.9 | 529 | 509 | 26 | 220 |
| PFOS | 6.3 | 7.8 | 498.93 | 80 | 46 | 270 |
| PFOS | 6.3 | 7.8 | 498.93 | 99 | 40 | 270 |
| 5:3FTCA | 6.6 | 7.5 | 341 | 217 | 25 | 102 |
| 5:3FTCA | 6.6 | 7.5 | 341 | 237 | 13 | 102 |

| Table A2. Timed SRM on the TSQ Quantis Plus mass spects | ometer (continued) |
|---|--------------------|
|---|--------------------|

| Compound | Start time (min) | End time (min) | Precursor (<i>m/z</i>) | Product (<i>m/z</i>) | Collision energy (V) | RF lens (V) |
|-----------------|---------------------|-------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------------|-------------------------|----------------|
| PFDA | 6.6 | 7.4 | 512.96 | 269 | 17 | 138 |
| PFDA | 6.6 | 7.4 | 512.96 | 469 | 11 | 138 |
| MPFDA | 6.6 | 7.4 | 515 | 470 | 11 | 138 |
| M6PFDA | 6.6 | 7.4 | 519 | 474 | 11 | 138 |
| MPFOS | 7 | 7.8 | 503 | 80 | 46 | 270 |
| MPFOS | 7 | 7.8 | 503 | 99 | 40 | 270 |
| M8PFOS | 7 | 7.8 | 507 | 80 | 46 | 270 |
| M8PFOS | 7 | 7.8 | 507 | 99 | 40 | 270 |
| PFUdA | 7.4 | 8.2 | 562.96 | 269 | 18 | 151 |
| PFUdA | 7.4 | 8.2 | 562.96 | 518.97 | 11 | 151 |
| M7PFUdA | 7.4 | 8.2 | 570 | 525 | 11 | 151 |
| 9CI-PF3ONS | 7.6 | 8.5 | 530.9 | 350.95 | 25 | 175 |
| 9CI-PF3ONS_37CI | 7.6 | 8.5 | 532.9 | 352.95 | 25 | 175 |
| PFNS | 7.7 | 8.7 | 548.93 | 80 | 49 | 275 |
| PFNS | 7.7 | 8.7 | 548.93 | 99 | 43 | 275 |
| N-MeFOSAA | 7.8 | 9.2 | 570 | 419 | 18 | 220 |
| N-MeFOSAA | 7.8 | 9.2 | 570 | 483 | 16 | 220 |
| N-MeFOSAA | 7.8 | 9.2 | 570 | 512 | 19 | 220 |
| PFDoA | 8.2 | 9 | 612.95 | 169 | 25 | 163 |
| PFDoA | 8.2 | 9 | 612.95 | 569 | 11.5 | 163 |
| MPFDoA | 8.2 | 9 | 615 | 570 | 10.5 | 163 |
| d3-N-MeFOSAA | 8.3 | 9.2 | 573 | 419 | 18 | 220 |
| N-EtFOSAA | 8.4 | 10.1 | 584 | 419 | 20 | 200 |
| N-EtFOSAA | 8.4 | 10.1 | 584 | 483 | 18 | 200 |
| N-EtFOSAA | 8.4 | 10.1 | 584 | 526 | 20 | 200 |
| PFDS | 8.5 | 9.4 | 598.92 | 80 | 50 | 280 |
| PFDS | 8.5 | 9.4 | 598.92 | 99 | 46 | 280 |
| 7:3FTCA | 8.6 | 9.5 | 441 | 317 | 20 | 129 |
| 7:3FTCA | 8.6 | 9.5 | 441 | 337 | 11 | 129 |
| d5-N-EtFOSAA | 8.9 | 10.1 | 589 | 419 | 20 | 235 |

| | | | | | (000000000) | |
|-------------------|---------------------|-------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------------|-------------------------|----------------|
| Compound | Start time (min) | End time (min) | Precursor (<i>m/z</i>) | Product (<i>m/z</i>) | Collision energy (V) | RF lens (V) |
| PFTrDA | 8.9 | 9.7 | 662.95 | 169 | 26 | 174 |
| PFTrDA | 8.9 | 9.7 | 662.95 | 618.96 | 12 | 174 |
| FOSA | 9.1 | 9.9 | 497.95 | 78 | 30 | 240 |
| FOSA | 9.1 | 9.9 | 497.95 | 169 | 27 | 240 |
| FOSA | 9.1 | 9.9 | 497.95 | 478 | 23 | 240 |
| M8FOSA | 9.2 | 9.9 | 506 | 78 | 30 | 240 |
| 11CI-PF2OUdS | 9.2 | 10 | 630.9 | 450.94 | 27 | 163 |
| 11CI-PF2OUdS_37CI | 9.2 | 10 | 632.9 | 452.94 | 27 | 163 |
| PFTeDA | 9.6 | 10.5 | 712.95 | 169 | 28 | 188 |
| PFTeDA | 9.6 | 10.5 | 712.95 | 668.96 | 12.5 | 188 |
| M2PFTeDA | 9.6 | 10.5 | 715 | 670 | 12.5 | 188 |
| PFDoS | 9.8 | 10.8 | 698.9 | 80 | 53 | 280 |
| PFDoS | 9.8 | 10.8 | 698.9 | 99 | 48 | 280 |
| NMeFOSE | 9.9 | 10.9 | 616 | 59 | 16 | 133 |
| D7-NMeFOSE | 9.9 | 10.9 | 623 | 59 | 16 | 133 |
| NMeFOSA | 10.2 | 11.1 | 512 | 169 | 26 | 222 |
| NMeFOSA | 10.2 | 11.1 | 512 | 219 | 24 | 222 |
| D3-NMeFOSA | 10.3 | 11.1 | 515 | 219 | 24 | 222 |
| NEtFOSE | 10.5 | 11.4 | 630 | 59 | 16 | 137 |
| D9-NEtFOSE | 10.5 | 11.4 | 639 | 59 | 16 | 137 |
| NEtFOSA | 10.8 | 11.8 | 526 | 169 | 26 | 227 |
| NEtFOSA | 10.8 | 11.8 | 526 | 219 | 23 | 227 |
| D5-NEtFOSA | 10.8 | 11.8 | 531 | 219 | 23 | 227 |

 Table A2. Timed SRM on the TSQ Quantis Plus mass spectrometer (continued)

Table A3. Precision and recovery of native PFAS from fortified water samples

| Analyte | Spiked conc. (ng/L) | Mean %Recovery (N=5) | %RSD (N=5) | Analyte | Spiked conc. (ng/L) | Mean %Recovery (N=5) | %RSD (N=5) |
|---------|------------------------|-------------------------|---------------|----------|------------------------|-------------------------|---------------|
| PFBA | 50.0 | 91.2% | 3.4 | 6:2 FTS | 50.0 | 232.9%* | 52.4 |
| PFPeA | 25.0 | 92.4% | 2.8 | 8:2 FTS | 50.0 | 89.5% | 1.4 |
| PFHxA | 12.5 | 91.3% | 3.7 | PFOSA | 12.5 | 85.9% | 3.9 |
| PFHpA | 12.5 | 88.5% | 3.1 | N-MeFOSA | 12.5 | 85.6% | 4.2 |
| PFOA | 12.5 | 89.8% | 3.3 | N-EtFOSA | 12.5 | 83.2% | 3.8 |

| | | and receivery er | | | | | |
|----------------|------------------------|-------------------------|---------------|--------------------|------------------------|-------------------------|---------------|
| Analyte | Spiked conc. (ng/L) | Mean %Recovery (N=5) | %RSD (N=5) | Analyte | Spiked conc. (ng/L) | Mean %Recovery (N=5) | %RSD (N=5) |
| PFNA | 12.5 | 87.8% | 4.7 | N-MeFOSAA_branched | 3.0 | 94.4% | 10.5 |
| PFDA | 12.5 | 89.0% | 1.9 | N-MeFOSAA | 9.5 | 90.5% | 3.2 |
| PFUdA | 12.5 | 87.0% | 3.5 | N-EtFOSAA_branched | 2.8 | 87.7% | 5.2 |
| PFDoA | 12.5 | 93.3% | 3.3 | N-EtFOSAA | 9.7 | 87.0% | 2.4 |
| PFTrDA | 12.5 | 88.0% | 3.2 | N-MeFOSE | 125.0 | 90.5% | 3.7 |
| PFTeDA | 12.5 | 93.6% | 2.5 | N-EtFOSE | 125.0 | 92.6% | 3.1 |
| PFBS | 12.5 | 86.8% | 5.5 | HFPO-DA | 50.0 | 94.1% | 2.0 |
| PFPeS | 12.5 | 92.7% | 3.4 | ADONA | 50.0 | 102.5% | 4.5 |
| PFHxS_branched | 2.4 | 86.6% | 5.4 | PFEESA | 25.0 | 93.4% | 3.3 |
| PFHxS | 10.1 | 86.9% | 5.7 | PFMPA | 25.0 | 84.3% | 3.4 |
| PFHpS | 12.5 | 82.7% | 1.6 | PFMBA | 25.0 | 89.9% | 3.1 |
| PFOS_branched | 2.6 | 85.8% | 4.6 | NFDHA | 25.0 | 97.3% | 1.7 |
| PFOS | 9.9 | 87.8% | 2.3 | 9CI-PF3ONS | 50.0 | 97.1% | 1.5 |
| PFNS | 12.5 | 90.0% | 5.8 | 11CI-PF3OUdS | 50.0 | 110.5% | 6.1 |
| PFDS | 12.5 | 92.4% | 1.9 | 3:3FTCA | 62.5 | 86.2% | 5.3 |
| PFDoS | 12.5 | 116.8% | 6.6 | 5:3FTCA | 312.5 | 71.8% | 2.8 |
| 4:2 FTS | 50.0 | 97.6% | 4.1 | 7:3FTCA | 312.5 | 101.7% | 2.4 |
| | | | | | | | |

Table A3. Precision and recovery of native PFAS from fortified water samples (continued)

*Biased high recovery from cross-contamination. See text for details.

Table A4. MDLs of native PFAS in fortified water samples

| Analyte | TSQ Quantis Plus mass spectrometer MDL (ng/L, N=7) | EPA 1633 Draft 3 aqueous MDL (ng/L, pooled) | Analyte | TSQ Quantis Plus mass spectrometer MDL (ng/L, N=7) | EPA 1633 Draft 3 aqueous MDL (ng/L, pooled) |
|---------|--|--|-----------|--|--|
| PFBA | 1.92 | 0.80 | 6:2 FTS | 135.26** | 2.52 |
| PFPeA | 0.20 | 0.53 | 8:2 FTS | 2.27 | 2.58 |
| PFHxA | 0.21 | 0.48 | PFOSA | 0.11 | 0.32 |
| PFHpA | 0.05 | 0.39 | N-MeFOSA | 0.36 | 0.41 |
| PFOA | 0.15 | 0.55 | N-EtFOSA | 0.36 | 0.43 |
| PFNA | 0.12 | 0.46 | N-MeFOSAA | 0.27 | 1.04 |
| PFDA | 0.15 | 0.53 | N-EtFOSAA | 0.23 | 0.80 |
| PFUdA | 0.15 | 0.44 | N-MeFOSE | 1.66 | 3.93 |
| PFDoA | 0.16 | 0.37 | N-EtFOSE | 1.53 | 5.13 |
| PFTrDA | 0.08 | 0.46 | HFPO-DA | 0.28 | 1.54 |
| PFTeDA | 0.14 | 0.51 | ADONA | 0.14 | 1.47 |
| PFBS | 0.13 | 0.37 | PFEESA | 0.21 | 0.79 |
| PFPeS | 0.07 | 0.53 | PFMPA | 0.23 | 0.54 |
| PFHxS | 0.13 | 0.56 | PFMBA | 0.19 | 0.53 |
| PFHpS | 0.21 | 0.87 | NFDHA | 0.21 | 1.92 |

| Analyte | TSQ Quantis Plus mass spectrometer MDL (ng/L, N=7) | EPA 1633 Draft 3 aqueous MDL (ng/L, pooled) | Analyte | TSQ Quantis Plus mass spectrometer MDL (ng/L, N=7) | EPA 1633 Draft 3 aqueous MDL (ng/L, pooled) |
|---------|--|--|--------------|--|--|
| PFOS | 0.19 | 0.64 | 9CI-PF3ONS | 0.17 | 1.42 |
| PFNS | 0.37 | 0.49 | 11CI-PF3OUdS | 0.43 | 1.78 |
| PFDS | 0.36 | 0.90 | 3:3FTCA | 1.30 | 2.54 |
| PFDoS | 0.55 | 0.64 | 5:3FTCA | 3.07 | 9.92 |
| 4:2 FTS | 0.45 | 1.74 | 7:3FTCA | 3.83 | 9.14 |

Table A4. MDLs of native PFAS in fortified water samples (continued)

**Biased high MDL from cross-contamination. See text for details.

General Laboratory Equipment – Not For Diagnostic Procedures. © 2023 Thermo Fisher Scientific Inc. All rights reserved. All trademarks are the property of Thermo Fisher Scientific and its subsidiaries unless otherwise specified. Biotage and EVOLUTE are trademarks of Biotage. This information is presented as an example of the capabilities of Thermo Fisher Scientific products. It is not intended to encourage use of these products in any manner that might infringe the intellectual property rights of others. Specifications, terms and pricing are subject to change. Not all products are available in all countries. Please consult your local sales representative for details. AN002348-EN 0723

Learn more at thermofisher.com/quantisplus

This sponsor report is the responsibility of Thermo Fisher Scientific.