

POINT OF VIEW

3D Printing in Separation Science: Hype or Reality?

Manuel Miró¹   Enrique J. Carrasco-Correa^{1,2}  David J. Cocovi-Solberg^{1,3} 

¹FI-TRACE Group, Department of Chemistry, University of the Balearic Islands, Carretera de Valldemossa km 7.5, E-07122, Palma de Mallorca, Illes Balears, Spain

²CLECEM Group, Department of Analytical Chemistry, University of Valencia, C/ Doctor Moliner, 50, E-46100, Burjassot, Valencia, Spain

³University of Natural Resources and Life Sciences, Muthgasse 18, 1900 Vienna, Austria

Three-dimensional (3D) printing is an emerging and enabling technology that is paving its way in different fields of research, including analytical science, for the fabrication of custom devices and portable sensing platforms based on additive manufacturing of objects from Computer-Aided Design (CAD) models. In fact, the last five years have witnessed tremendous advances in novel materials and composites with improved chemical properties (e.g., noble metals, carbon nanomaterials, and chemically resistant polymers). Printing platforms for fabrication of low-cost devices have capitalized on stereolithography (SLA) or dynamic light processing (DLP), inkjet printing, fused deposition modelling (FDM), and selective laser sintering (SLS) that enable decentralized (in situ) measurements. The main advantage of 3D printing is the capability of rapid and single-step prototyping of holders, scaffolds, and integrated complex systems with geometries that cannot easily be manufactured by conventional means, such as computer numerical controlled milling and soft-lithographic approaches. Furthermore, the outreach of this technique has been expanded by the lowering costs of the machinery, the user-friendliness of the CAD software and especially the commercial strategies addressed to the nontechnical and nonscientific collective, currently called the 'maker community'.

In the scientific sphere, a variety of 3D printing technologies, including multimaterial printing, is now available in the market for the fabrication of cost-effective fluidic platforms for microscale sample preparation and column separation systems. Efforts toward designing unrivalled 3D printing structures have triggered the development of printable sorbent materials and membranes using either pristine or chemically modified polymers for on-line sorbent extraction and concentration, and platforms for chromatographic and even electrophoretic separation by using conductive printable materials. Also, 3D printing fostered a new dawn for flow injection analysis (FIA) with the fabrication of versatile millifluidic platforms within the concept of "3D-printed μ FIA". Recent literature in the field of 3D printing in separation science aiming at so-called "Office Chromatography" focused on the (i) exploration of chromatographic features of tailorable 3D-printed HPLC column geometry with complex designs, (ii) feasibility of in situ fabrication of covalently-attached porous organic monoliths into 3D-printed polymeric or metal scaffolds, and (iii) cost-effective fabrication of printed thin-layer chromatographic plates and entire gel electrophoresis setups. Current trends are also focused on the development of 3D-printed microchips with features of size less than 50 μ m for on-line electrophoretic separation of peptides and proteins as biomarkers and the exploitation of multimaterial polyjet-type printers for integration of membrane separation and electrokinetic separation-on-chip for clean-up and separation of pharmaceuticals.

However, 3D-printing in analytical science is still in its infancy because most of the applications reported in the literature are overly simplistic. In fact, the three main 3D-printing technologies (FDM, SLA/DLP and SLS) have a series of problems or disadvantages that must be solved before their acceptance and full

Cite: Miró, M.; Carrasco-Correa, E. J.; Cocovi-Solberg, D. J. 3D Printing in Separation Science: Hype or Reality? *Braz. J. Anal. Chem.*, 2020, 7 (26), pp 9-11. doi: <http://dx.doi.org/10.30744/brjac.2179-3425.point-of-view-mmiro-N26>

integration in the analytical chemistry lab. For example, the use of FDM prints at relatively high pressures (>15 bar) is troublesome and can only be achieved by expensive 3D printers. In the case of SLA, most of the photopolymerizable resins are proprietary, and custom-grade printers only accept resins provided by the manufacturer. In both cases, resolution of the 3D-printers does not suffice for truly microfluidic separations, and tailor-made printer configurations with optimized laser sources and optical disposition are needed in SLA applications. Last but not least, post-printing curing is of high importance in 3D-printed SLA objects to prevent leaching of monomers or oligomers that might otherwise jeopardize the analytical detection step, in particular when coupled to mass spectrometric detection. In the case of SLS, the available instruments are still too expensive to be incorporated as a common instrument in analytical research laboratories, and the resolution and features are still at similar levels to those of SLA/DLP machines. Similarly to SLA, post-printing steps are necessary in SLS for removal of the nonsintered metal from the channels, which is not a straightforward task. In this context, the high printing precision achieved by the two-photon polymerization technique, where submicron features can be fabricated, is worth mentioning. However, the high cost, tedious workflow, and small printer volume are still the main challenges of this cutting-edge technique.

In brief, 3D printing in analytical science has been mostly used in proof-of-concept studies or disposable platforms, and no application to complex matrices (wastewaters, whole blood or industrial samples) has been demonstrated as of yet. At this juncture, we can conclude that 3D printing is still a hype in analytical science, yet this technique is proven to outperform conventional techniques in terms of flexibility in fabrication of unique and singular designs. We anticipate that 3D printing will become a standard technique for prototyping in most R&D laboratories when inert materials will be printable at low cost. Apart from the mainstream printers targeted to a wider market, other technically oriented approaches will become key players for further development of 3D printing, such as the usage of electronic components or chemically active materials inside of the prints or the elimination of post-printing procedures for a truly single-step fabrication.



Manuel Miró received his M.Sc. (1998) and Ph.D. (2002) in Chemistry at the University of the Balearic Islands, Spain. He has conducted post-doctoral research in several universities including the Technical University of Berlin, Technical University of Denmark and University of Natural Resources and Applied Life Sciences in Austria. He is currently Full Professor in Analytical Chemistry (from September 2017) at the University of the Balearic Islands; Visiting Professor at Charles University (Czech Republic); and member of the IUPAC Chemistry and Environment Division (Subcommittee on Chemical and Biophysical Processes in the Environment).

He is the Reviews Editor of the journal *Analytica Chimica Acta* (Elsevier, IF:5.23, second highest IF across general journals in AC) and Associate Editor of the *Encyclopedia of Analytical Science*, 3rd Edition, Elsevier, since 2007 and 2016, respectively. His publication record shows 190 refereed publications including 11 book chapters, and a foreword, with an h-index of 39 and over 4500 citations. He has published 23 articles in the prestigious

journal 'Analytical Chemistry' from ACS and is corresponding author of 95 articles.



Enrique Javier Carrasco-Correa received his Ph.D. degree from the University of Valencia (Spain) in 2015. He worked as a Ph.D student in Prof. G. Ramis-Ramos' group at the Department of Analytical Chemistry in the University of Valencia. Currently, he is working as a researcher at the Department of Analytical Chemistry of the UV (CLECEM Group) and the Department of Chemistry at the University of the Balearic Islands (FI-TRACE group). His current research interests focus on the assessment of novel hybrid materials (e.g., monoliths, nanomaterials, 3D-printed devices) in separation and microextraction techniques. He participates in the training of novice researchers and in the organization of workshops for young researchers. He also collaborates with industry for the development of novel products and methodologies.



David J. Cocovi-Solberg received his PhD in Chemical Science and Technology from the University of the Balearic Islands (UIB) in 2017. He is currently a postdoc researcher at the University of Natural Resources and Life Sciences of Vienna (BOKU), a scientific collaborator of the UIB and a visiting professor at the Gdansk University of Technology (GUT). His research is focused in the development of analytical instrumentation for exposome evaluation, for which he is the principal investigator of 2 projects with public and private funding. This research has a strong component in sample preparation, microfluidics, chromatography, elemental and molecular mass spectrometry, 3D printing, software programming and statistics. Most recent contributions resort to microfluidics for single-step, fast and universal sample preparation as a front end to LC-MS.